

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/624,561	07/23/2003	Jong-Hoon Lee	1293.1766	6631		
21171	7590 11/30/2006		EXAMINER			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			HALEY, JOSEPH R			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2627		
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/624,561	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	- Art Unit		
Joseph Haley	2627		

		Joseph Haley		2627	
The MAILING DATE of this cor	nmunication appe	ars on the cover si	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 January 2006 FAILS	TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CO	ONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection this application, applicant must timely places the application in condition for a Request for Continued Examination time periods:	on, but prior to or on file one of the follov allowance; (2) a No (RCE) in complianc	the same day as fil ving replies: (1) an a tice of Appeal (with se with 37 CFR 1.11	ing a Notice of amendment, aff appeal fee) in e	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	e mailing date of this A eriod for reply expire la neck either box (a) or (CTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) tater than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BO 06.07(f).	S from the mailin X (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFI have been filed is the date for purposes of determ under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the eset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receimay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. NOTICE OF APPEAL	nining the period of ext expiration date of the s ved by the Office later	tension and the corres shortened statutory per than three months aft	ponding amount riod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any AMENDMENTS 	.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after (a) They raise new issues that would (b) They raise the issue of new matter (c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims were not	d require further conter (see NOTE belowne application in betwithout canceling a content of the	nsideration and/or s w); ter form for appeal t corresponding numb	earch (see NO	TE below);	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Shee</u> 4. The amendments are not in compliant 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) non-allowable claim(s).	ce with 37 CFR 1.12 ollowing rejection(s)	21. See attached No			·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would The status of the claim(s) is (or will be Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	d be rejected is prov) as follows:	⊠ will not be entere vided below or appe	ed, or b) 🗌 wil nded.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed aft because applicant failed to provide a s was not earlier presented. See 37 CF 	showing of good and R 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons	why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed aft entered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reasons The affidavit or other evidence is enter REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH 	evidence failed to o s why it is necessary ered. An explanation <u>ER</u>	vercome <u>all</u> rejectio y and was not earlie n of the status of the	ns under appear r presented. S e claims after e	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′ ntry is below or attach	ls to provide a l). led.
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has because the control of the co				n condition for allowar	nce because:

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitation of 99 minutes is a new issue that requires further search and consideration.

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600